

A man with a long, full beard and extensive tattoos is wearing white headphones. He is looking towards the camera with a slight smile. The background is a solid pink color with a white square graphic element.

WHITEPAPER

LUCIDUM vs ETL OFFERINGS

Our patented, proprietary sets of machine learning and AI algorithms will triangulate, detect, and classify data faster than a hipster can grow a beard, giving our clients unparalleled visibility across their tech ecosystems—because why should only lumberjacks have the market on thick, impressive layers of analytics?

 LUCIDUM

DISTINGUISHING LUCIDUM'S SECURITY DATA FABRIC FROM MODERN & LEGACY ETL OFFERINGS

Lucidum's Security Data Fabric offers a robust and scalable solution for data integration and management, leveraging an advanced ETL architecture characterized by API-driven design, modularity, cloud-native deployment, data-driven processing, and self-service capabilities. With over 500 APIs and file format adaptors, the platform facilitates seamless integration across diverse data sources, providing a unified view through a single interface. The modular architecture allows independent deployment and maintenance of ETL functions, simplifying updates and scaling while preserving system performance. Cloud-native features ensure elasticity and global accessibility, while data-driven processing enhances responsiveness. Additionally, intuitive interfaces and low-code/no-code options democratize ETL management, enabling broad user participation. Adhering to standardized API architectures like REST and GraphQL, Lucidum ensures interoperability and reduces integration complexities, offering a comprehensive, future-proof solution for modern data-driven enterprises.

API-Driven

Traditional ETL tools often relied on fixed processes and specific data formats. Lucidum's security data fabric leverages APIs, allowing for more dynamic and flexible data integration. Lucidum's 500+ security-focused Asset, Identity, Data, and file format integrations connect with the widest array of services and data sources, delivering modern comprehensive coverage to your single pane of glass.



Edit Smart Label

Name: Extract Data Center ID | Label Type: String | Data Type: Asset

Display name: Label type of the rule result value | Data type used to configure the rules

Description: Data center assignment taken from asset naming convention. Adjust the processing order to meet prioritization needs.

Write a thorough description that explains the business value and purpose of your smart label field. This will help you and others understand how it works and which benefits it provides.

Configured Rules

Priority	Rule Description	Result Value	Actions
1	Source Asset Name starts with RJ1	RJ1	Σ [] ✎ 🗑️
2	Source Asset Name starts with RJ2	RJ2	Σ [] ✎ 🗑️
3	Source Asset Name starts with SP1	SP1	Σ [] ✎ 🗑️
4	Source Asset Name starts with SP2	SP2	Σ [] ✎ 🗑️
5	Source Asset Name starts with SP3	SP3	Σ [] ✎ 🗑️
6	Source Asset Name starts with SP4	SP4	Σ [] ✎ 🗑️
7	Source Asset Name starts with SP5	SP5	Σ [] ✎ 🗑️
8	Source Asset Name starts with CL1	CL1	Σ [] ✎ 🗑️
9	Source Asset Name starts with CL2	CL2	Σ [] ✎ 🗑️

Total Rows: 275

Default Label Value: [] Σ

If no rules are matched, the default value will be applied. If default value is left blank, no value will be assigned to the record.

Cancel Save

Figure 1. A SmartLabel showing multiple rules that will be evaluated to set a textual value on asset records.

Edit Smart Label

Name * Departmental Owner Label Type * String Data Type * Asset

Display name Label type of the rule result value Data type used to configure the rules

Description * Associate internal owner of assets based upon departmental assignment of the server/workstation.

Write a thorough description that explains the business value and purpose of your smart label field. This will help you and others understand how it works and which benefits it provides.

Configured Rules

Priority	Rule Description	Result Value	Actions
1	Ned Stark owns all finance, HR and facilities systems	Ned Stark	Σ [] ✎ 🗑
2	Robert Baratheon owns IT, legal and security	Robert Baratheon	Σ [] ✎ 🗑
3	Jon Snow owns product, marketing, sales and support	Jon Snow	Σ [] ✎ 🗑

Total Rows: 3

Default Label Value

If no rules are matched, the default value will be applied. If default value is left blank, no value will be assigned to the record.

Cancel Save

Figure 2. Here we will assign an 'Owner' to assets, depending upon the department that the asset belongs to, that we learned from the data sources we collect from.

Edit Smart Label

Name * Departmental Owner Label Type * String Data Type * Asset

Display name Label type of the rule result value Data type used to configure the rules

Description * Associate internal owner of assets based upon departmental assignment of the server/workstation.

Write a thorough description that explains the business value and purpose of your smart label field. This will help you and others understand how it works and which benefits it provides.

Configured Rules

Priority	Rule Description	Result Value	Actions
1	Ned Stark owns all finance, HR and facilities systems	Ned Stark	Σ [] ✎ 🗑
2	Robert Baratheon owns IT, legal and security	Robert Baratheon	Σ [] ✎ 🗑
3	Jon Snow owns product, marketing, sales and support	Jon Snow	Σ [] ✎ 🗑

Total Rows: 3

Default Label Value

If no rules are matched, the default value will be applied. If default value is left blank, no value will be assigned to the record.

Cancel Save

Figure 3. We want to elevate the Lucidum-calculated Risk Score by multiplying that value according to the department that owns the asset. That way the assets will jump to the top of Risk reports.

Executive View: Asset Risk with Departmental Owners
Provide high-level view of risk associated with internal team leads

Latest Asset Name	Lucidum OS Version	Person Full Name	Departmental Owner	Vulns Compact	Risk Score	Adjusted Departmental Risk
CWATKINS-QHD50TX	Windows Server 2016	Clyde Watkins	Jon Snow	Critical2 / High 2 / Medium 4 / Low 2	52	104
ASHARP-SGUCNO0	Windows Server 2012	Ashley Sharp	Ned Stark	Critical1 / High 5 / Medium 3 / Low 1	51.96	156
FF70TP8BYDE3NX06Z2	Windows 10	Flora Black	Robert Baratheon	Critical3 / High 4 / Medium 10 / Low 1	49.19	197
JUMANZOR-T3TU07B	macOS 10.8	Jerry Umanzor	Ned Stark	Critical2 / High 6 / Medium 2 / Low 2	34	102
M Downing-E6JM5TU	macOS 10.15	Miguel Downing	Jon Snow	Critical2 / High 9 / Medium 4 / Low 1	33.54	67
RBORDELON-71MAEL8	Windows Server 2019	Rob Bordelon	Jon Snow	Critical2 / High 6 / Medium 4 / Low 1	24	48
CRUSSO-EIW4UOM	Windows Server 2019	Charles Russo	Ned Stark	Critical2 / High 5 / Medium 3 / Low 1	24	72
KWINSTON-C2JHN7P	macOS 10.15	Kimberly Winston	Ned Stark	Critical3 / High 4 / Medium 2 / Low 1	20.78	62
WRIDLEY-KYQG3LI	macOS 10.15	Wilfred Ridley	Ned Stark	Critical1 / High 4 / Medium 3 / Low 1	20	60
GTHOMPSON-24AAWFH	Windows XP	Gordon Thompson	Ned Stark	Critical2 / High 3 / Medium 5 / Low 1	18	54
LKING-HTF1PM4	Windows Server 2012	Leigh King	Jon Snow	Critical1 / High 6 / Medium 4 / Low 1	17.89	36
GHARDISTER-Y4LK301	Windows Server 2016	Gary Hardister	Robert Baratheon	Critical2 / High 3 / Medium 3 / Low 1	17.89	72
RMACK-Z0O1JQT	Windows Server 2019	Rosemarie Mack	Ned Stark	Critical2 / High 5 / Medium 5 / Low 1	17.32	52

Rows per page: 500 | 1-21 of 21

Figure 4. Here we see the Owner field displayed in a tabular view of assets, along with the original and newly elevated Risk Scores that we modified using SmartLabels.

The screenshot shows the Sumo Logic interface with a table of asset logs. The table has columns for asset_name, department, location, location_asset_id, location_os_version, and risk_score. The data rows show assets like '2-29020K0K1Y29161', '2-JAK8388888882', and '2-FR7008H102004'. The right side of the interface displays a detailed log message for the selected asset, including fields like Critical Value, Beta Sources, Department, High Value, IP Address, Latest Asset Name, Location, Low Value, Lucidum Asset Type, Lucidum OS Category, Lucidum OS Version, Medium Value, Risk Factors, Risk Level, Risk Ranking, Service Asset Name, and Vulnerabilities.

Concrete Solution Requirements

Lucidum's security data fabric deploys each ETL job (extraction, transformation, and loading) as a separate scheduled job that can be executed based on time of day, data trigger, or as a run-now service. This modular approach makes it easier to maintain, update, and scale specific components according to your needs without complexity or harming system performance and integrity. Differing from stock, off-the-shelf pipelines, Lucidum's unique data-as-a-product delivery includes broad technological integrations and is supported with different and complementary methods as a distinct data fabric architecture pattern (Hechler, Weihrauch, and Yu, 2023).

Cloud-Native

Lucidum's security data fabric is designed to operate in cloud environments, offering advantages such as elasticity, global accessibility, and integration with other cloud-native services. Lucidum's cloud native security data fabric is accessible via SaaS that reduce the operational overhead.

Data-Driven Processing

Lucidum's security data fabric supports data-driven processing and SmartLabelstm, where data transformations and loads are triggered by specific ingested triggers or changes in the source data and automatically transformed according to your predefined, variable-driven rule sets. This reduces latency and improves the responsiveness of the data pipeline.

Self-Service Capabilities

Lucidum's intuitive interfaces and low-code/no-code options enable rapid value delivery, including allowing non-technical users to design and manage security data fabric processes without needing deep technical knowledge. This democratizes data access and processing. These include the five core requirements (Dhatterwal, Kaswan, and Jaglan, 2023):

Control: securing your data, your way, wherever it resides

Choice: complete autonomy to modify services, distribution, storage, and deployment

Integration: broadest possible (unlimited) security and information technologiesources

Access: your data at the right place, time, format, for your desired use cases and value

Consistency: multiple stores of data are managed with the same fabric and procedures

Support for Big Data and Advanced Analytics

The architecture is designed to handle big data technologies and integrates well with advanced analytics platforms, enabling organizations to derive insights from large and complex datasets. Lucidum's patented machine learning transform modules solve the 'digital twin' problem (Macias et al, 2024) including the disambiguation of tombstones and ghosts, ensuring complete, consolidated data validity.

Interoperability and Standardization

APIs used by Lucidum Security adhere to standardized protocols (e.g., REST, GraphQL), ensuring that they can interact seamlessly with other systems and services. This promotes interoperability and reduces integration complexities, whether you want to push or pull.

Conclusion

In today's rapidly evolving digital world, Lucidum's Security Data Fabric is your ultimate solution for seamless and efficient data integration and management. Our advanced ETL architecture combines cutting-edge API-driven design, modular flexibility, and cloud-native deployment to offer a powerful, scalable solution tailored for modern enterprises. With over 500 APIs and file format adaptors at your disposal, Lucidum effortlessly connects with a diverse range of data sources, providing you with a unified and

comprehensive view of your data ecosystem. Our modular approach ensures that each ETL function—extraction, transformation, and loading—can be independently deployed and scaled, making updates and maintenance straightforward and hassle-free.

The cloud-native design delivers unmatched elasticity and global access, while event-driven processing enhances the responsiveness of your data pipelines. Plus, our intuitive interfaces and low-code/no-code options empower users across your organization to manage ETL processes with ease. Choose Lucidum's Security Data Fabric for a flexible, future-proof solution that meets the demands of today's data-driven landscape and positions your business for success.

Bibliography

Dhatterwal, J. S., Kaswan, K. S., & Jaglan, V. (2023). 4 Data Fabric Technologies and Their Innovative Applications. *Data Fabric Architectures: Web-Driven Applications*, 61.

Hechler, E., Weihrauch, M., & Wu, Y. (2023). Data fabric architecture patterns. In *Data Fabric and Data Mesh Approaches with AI: A Guide to AI-based Data Cataloging, Governance, Integration, Orchestration, and Consumption* (pp. 231-255). Berkeley, CA: Apress.

Macías, A., Muñoz, D., Navarro, E., & González, P. (2024). Data fabric and digital twins: An integrated approach for data fusion design and evaluation of pervasive systems. *Information Fusion*, 103, 102139.